

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/838,822	KAUSIK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William L. Bashore	2176

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTO-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to amendment filed 1/4/2005.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-30.
3. The drawings filed on * are accepted by the Examiner. (No drawings submitted).
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other _____.

William L. Bashore
WILLIAM L. BASHORE
PATENT EXAMINER
TECH CENTER 2100

March 3, 2005

EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. Independent claims 1, 24, 25, 27-29 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
2. The cited references of record, either singularly, or in combination, fails to teach and/or suggest the combined limitations set forth in each of claims 1, 24, 25, 27-29, including creation/distribution of a custom computer program (for each implementation) containing a set of instructions for recreating a specific “current” document by combining strings accordingly, as claimed. It is noted that support for this interpretation can be found in Applicant’s specification page 5 lines 4-25, especially lines 18-21.

It is noted that newly cited reference Sliger et al. (“Sliger”), U.S. Patent No. 6,466,999 teaches an executable software patch comprising instructions for altering a base document (i.e. an original program file) with added program code (i.e. strings not occurring in said original file) to create a current file (an updated program file). However, Applicant claims a program which “recreates and displays the current document”. Accordingly, Sliger’s patch program acts upon internal program code intended for execution, the document (i.e. the modified code itself) is never displayed by Sliger.

Regarding dependent claim 14, the examiner interprets “*substantially minimizing conflicts*” to mean conflicts are reduced as much as is reasonably possible. Support for this interpretation can be found in Applicant’s specification page 6 lines 11-17, as well as Applicant’s arguments set forth on page 2 of paper submitted January 4, 2005.

3. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled “Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.”

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4088. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:30am - 8:00pm EST.

5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William L. Bashore
WILLIAM L. BASHORE
PATENT EXAMINER
TECH CENTER 2100

March 3, 2005